

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Reissue Patent Application of:

William Stern

Confirmation No.: 8408

Serial No.: 10/774,358

Group Art Unit: 1616

Filed: February 5, 2004

Examiner: Mina Haghighatian

Original Patent No.: 6,440,392

Issued: August 27, 2002

For: NASAL CALCITONIN FORMULATION

Mail Stop Reissue
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FOURTH DECLARATION OF INVENTOR
WILLIAM STERN UNDER 37 CFR §1.132

I, William Stern, hereby declare that:

1. My background and relationship to the present patent application, and to its owner, Unigene, are as stated in paragraphs 1-3 of my Second Declaration of Inventor William Stern Under 37 CFR §1.132 executed by me on September 7, 2007, and previously filed.

2. This declaration is for the purpose of further explaining specification support, and supporting experimental data, for amendments made during reissue proceedings, and values stated in the specification, which I understand to be the subject of inquiries from quality review personnel in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

3. All documents attached as exhibits hereto are either (1) documents kept in the ordinary course of business at Unigene which report the results of experimentation done by me, or by others under my supervision, or (2) tabulations or summaries of such documents or experimentation. Further details of each attachment are provided *infra*.

Support For Amendments to Table 2 of the specification :

4. Attached as EXHIBIT A hereto is a summary of data compiled from records kept by Unigene in the ordinary course of business. Some representative laboratory notebook pages and underlying data for the formulations whose performance is summarized in EXHIBIT A is attached hereto as EXHIBIT B (discussed in more detail in paragraph 6 *infra*). EXHIBIT A documents the basis for the data in Table 1 of the specification, and of selected Table 2 data, namely the Table 2 entries for the phenylethyl alcohol/benzyl alcohol- containing sCT formulations. In EXHIBIT A, Reference code "BA" followed by three digits refers to study number; the next letter refers to formulation (which in the laboratory notebooks is designated by roman numeral); the next number refers to rat identification (which in laboratory notebooks is designated by color). Cmax refers to maximum sCT concentration; %F refers to bioavailability. The concentration number given in "mM" is for citric acid content. The notebook references for the data in EXHIBIT A are YMY 515:005, YMY 515:006, YMY 515:007 and YMY 515:008. A previously submitted version of EXHIBIT A (Exhibit C to my Third Declaration filed in October, 2007) had mentioned notebooks YMY 515:006, YMY 515:007, but not YMY 515:005 and YMY 515:008, which are also relevant and are now cited in EXHIBIT A.

5. Referring to EXHIBIT A, Table 2's amended bioavailability and maximum plasma concentration of salmon calcitonin active ingredient (sCT) for the phenylethyl alcohol/benzyl alcohol- containing sCT formulations is derived from the rat data reported in the first three columns of EXHIBIT A. The formulations tested in those first three columns are the ones whose citric acid concentration is zero. (Concentrations at the top of EXHIBIT A are citric acid concentrations). Unlike Table 1, whose purpose is to compare results at different citric acid concentrations, Table 2 seeks to compare the results using a variety of preservatives (or no preservatives). The data from EXHIBIT A where citric acid concentration is zero best isolates

any such preservative effects because citric acid effects of the invention are absent from this data. The other comparative formulations whose performance is Table 2 (e.g. formulations with a different preservative (or no preservative) are also formulations having zero citric acid. The average (and standard deviations) for bioavailability and maximum plasma sCT reported for the rats that received the relevant phenylethyl alcohol/benzyl alcohol- containing sCT formulations are calculated at the bottom of columns 1,2, and 3 of EXHIBIT A, and are identical to the values now stated in amended Table 2.

Support For the sCT formulations tested in Table 1 having a pH of 3.7:

6. Attached hereto as EXHIBIT B are laboratory notebook Pages 283 and 284 of Unigene notebook YMY 515:005; pages 49 and 64 of Unigene notebook YMY 515:006; pages 1, 2, 60, 240 and 241 of Unigene notebook YMY 515:007; and pages 11 and 15 of Unigene notebook YMY 515:008 showing formulation details for sCT formulations administered to rats in studies BA 285, 289, 290, 301, 303, 311, 312 and 313. Of these, all but study BA 285 were among the studies used to generate the data in EXHIBIT A. Details of study BA 285 are attached because several other studies use the formulations of study BA 285.

7. In the specification, pH values from the underlying data are rounded to a single decimal place. The two decimal place figures shown in the lab notebook pages approach the limits of detection. Additionally, to an accuracy of two decimal places, the formulations are likely undergoing some minor pH drift even over short periods of time. The target pH for all formulations whose performance is evaluated in Table 1 was 3.7, as was the average value measured (rounded to a single decimal place), although a very small number of individual measurements to two decimal places fell slightly outside the range that rounds to 3.7. Referring to EXHIBIT B, the bottom of page 284 of notebook YMY 515:005, at column 9, shows that the formulations of BA285 had a pH of 3.7 (when rounded to a single decimal place). Study BA289 used those same formulations (see the notation "same formulation as BA285" near the bottom of page 49 of notebook YMY 515:006). Likewise, study BA290 used the same

formulations as study 289 (see the notation near the bottom of page 64 notebook YMY 515:006). Formulation III of study BA311 - - the only formulation from study BA311 whose performance is reported at Exhibit A (as BA311C1 and BA311C2) and included in the data of specification Table 1 - - has components identical to that of formulation II of study to BA285 whose pH was measured at 3.69. (Compare pages 240 and 241 of notebook YMY 515:007 to page 284 of notebook YMY 515:005). Studies BA312 and BA313 used the same formulation as did Study BA311. (See the bottom of pages 11 and 15 of notebook YMY 515:008). Pages 1, 2 and 60 of notebook YMY 515:007 show a target pH for studies BA301 and BA303 of 3.7. See page 2, column 8 (which reports pH for the formulations I, II and III on page 1 related to study BA301), and page 60 near the bottom (relating to pH of formulations used in study BA303).

8. The purpose of the studies discussed in the foregoing paragraph 7 was to measure the effect of various citric acid concentrations independent of other parameters, which parameters applicant therefore sought to hold constant (for example, by targeting a relatively constant pH near 3.7 as shown in EXHIBIT B). The bioavailability and maximum sCT values from each rat study discussed in paragraph 7 hereof, and other similar rat studies, were then tabulated in EXHIBIT A. The bottom two lines of EXHIBIT A show average bioavailability (%) and average maximum sCT concentration (C_{max}), and standard deviations thereof, for all of these rat studies. These averages and standard deviations are then reported in Table 1 of the patent specification.

Support For Table 3 showing test data for sCT formulations having pH 3.8:

9. Attached hereto as EXHIBIT C are pages 205 and 206 of laboratory notebook LMF 515:030. Page 205, rows 20-23, columns 1-8 show the content, and pH, of all but one of the formulations tested for stability in Table 3 of the patent specification. (The exception, where citric acid is zero, is discussed *infra*). As may be seen in column 8, rows 20-23, the target pH for these formulations is 3.8. A single pH measurement at column 8, row 20 was measured at 3.88. However, as also noted in paragraph 7, pH values measured to two decimal place figures approach the limits of detection. Additionally, to an accuracy of two decimal places, the

formulations are likely undergoing some minor pH drift even over short periods of time. The average pH measured on relevant lines 20-23 of page 205 was 3.8 (rounded to a single decimal place). The purpose of these studies was to measure the effect of various citric acid concentrations independent of other parameters which applicant therefore sought to hold constant (for example, by targeting a relatively constant pH near 3.8 as shown in EXHIBIT C). With respect to the formulation wherein the citric acid content was zero, page 205, at the far right-hand side shows that several formulations wherein the pH was well above the 3.8 target were "tossed." At line 30, one such formulation is "kept" and then, on page 206, has its pH further adjusted to the desired 3.8 pH target. (Both successful and unsuccessful adjustments are shown on page 206).

Support For Reciting 0.85% sodium chloride in Example 3:

10. The same portion of EXHIBIT C discussed in the prior paragraph supports 0.85% sodium chloride (NaCl). The content of formulations tested for stability in Table 3 of the patent specification included a mixture set forth in EXHIBIT C, page 205, column 5. The last ingredient of that mixture is stated as "1.7% NaCl" which is then diluted 2:1 when the 2.5 ml of the column 5 mixture is diluted with another 2.5 ml of other ingredients of the final formulations. See the sum of other ingredients added in columns 3, 4, and 7. (Note that Specification Table 3 does not include data for the very different benzalkonium chloride formulation set forth on line 24 of page 205, which line should be disregarded for that reason).

Support For Reciting pH 3.5-3.9 in claim 13:

11. Attached hereto as EXHIBIT D are pages 046, 132 and 133 of Unigene laboratory notebook ETM:002. The 3.5-3.9 pH range stated in claim 13 is derived from applicant's preferred range stated at Column 3, line 12 and also original claim 13 of the specification, which is in turn even narrower than the broader range that is supported by EXHIBIT D. As discussed in more detail in paragraphs 12 and 13 *infra*, EXHIBIT D shows good results using a variety of pH values from 3.3 to 4.1, easily predicting good results within the stated 3.5-3.9 range of claim 13.

12. Specifically, EXHIBIT D, page 046 shows the content of a variety of different salmon calcitonin formulations. The Formulations numbered 3-10 all have citric acid added at a concentration within the critical 10-25 mM range recited in claim 13 (specifically 10 mM). Formulations numbered 11-18 all have citric acid added at a concentration outside the critical 10-25 mM range recited in claim 13, (specifically 100 mM). A variety of pH values are represented among formulations 3-18. EXHIBIT D, page 132, shows the stability of the various formulations under different storage conditions. The final column of page 132 shows percent of active ingredient sCT remaining after one month at room temperature (25 C). EXHIBIT D, page 133, shows similar data under more extreme storage conditions. The final column of page 133 shows percent of active ingredient sCT remaining after one month at 50 C. These data show almost no degradation at room temperature for formulations whose pH ranged from as low as 3.3 to as high as 4.1 as long as those formulations included citric acid within applicant's recited range. See EXHIBIT D, page 132, final column, for stability of formulations 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. Even at the more severe storage conditions set forth on EXHIBIT D, page 133, the data show best stability for formulations whose pH ranged from as low as 3.3 to as high as 4.1 for formulations that included citric acid within applicant's recited range. See EXHIBIT D, page 133, final column, for stability of formulations 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8.

13. Additionally the advantage applicant has discovered for holding citric acid levels within the 10-25mM range appears in the EXHIBIT D data at every pH tested from pH 3.3 through pH 4.1, easily encompassing the claim 13 range of 3.5-3.9. For example, formulations 4 and 12, both having pH of 3.3 are alike in all respects except that formulation 4 has an amount of citric acid within the scope of claim 13 and formulation 12 has an amount of citric acid outside the scope of claim 13. EXHIBIT D, page 133, final column, shows that formulation 4 outperformed formulation 12. Likewise, at pH 3.7, formulation 6 (citric acid concentration within the scope of claim 13) outperformed formulation 14 (citric acid concentration outside the scope of claim 13). And at pH 4.1, formulation 8 (citric acid concentration within the scope of claim 13) outperformed formulation 16 (citric acid concentration outside the scope of claim 13).

Support for claim 13's recitation of aggregate bioavailability enhancing agent

14. The bioavailability enhancing agent in claim 13 is defined in claim 13 itself. That claim 13 definition does not include any compound other than citric acid or citric acid salt, both of which are discussed in the original specification for their contribution to bioavailability. See, for example, column 2, lines 21-31 of the specification, example 1 and table 1.

15. It is necessarily the aggregate amount of the agent (whether added in the form of citric acid, citric acid salt, or a mixture) whose effects are reported in Tables 1 and 3. That is because citric acid buffered to the pH range recited in claim 13 (pH 3.5-3.9) or used in Table 1 (pH 3.7) or Table 3 (pH 3.8) always exists as a particular mixture of citric acid and citric acid salt at a given pH, regardless of whether citric acid, citric acid salt or a mixture thereof was originally provided. The Henderson-Hasselbach equation requires this result. For example, citric acid buffered at a pH above 3.5, at all of the citric acid concentrations of 10 mM or higher shown in Tables 1 and 3, is necessarily a combination of citric acid and citric acid salt, as dictated by a version of the Henderson-Hasselbach equation for buffers with two pK's as noted below:

$$\text{pH} = ((\text{pK1} + \text{pK2}) + \log (\text{salt/acid}))/2$$

Although citric acid has three pKs, pK3(6.19) has little if any effect on buffering properties of the buffering system at pH 3.5-3.9, and is therefore ignored in the foregoing equation to make the math simpler. In all of the concentrations of citric acid reported in Tables 1 and 3 that are 10mM or higher, the pH would have been considerably lower than 3.5 if the citric acid had not been buffered by the presence of a salt. For example, had the pH been raised to 3.5 or higher by a mere addition of water, the resulting citric acid concentration would be significantly below 10mM. The Henderson-Hasselbach equation dictates that a 10mM (or higher) aqueous citric acid exists as a mixture of citric acid and citric acid salt at a pH of 3.5 or higher. This does not necessarily mean that salt has been added. A base could be used to raise pH to 3.5 or higher. However, the addition of a base would cause the formation of salt in accordance with the above Henderson-Hasselbach equation. In other words, regardless of whether pH is raised to 3.5 or higher by using

a base, or by using a salt, salt will necessarily be present either (1) because salt was included during preparation, or (2) because salt was formed when base was included during preparation.

16. In view of the foregoing, the effect on bioavailability and stability that is reported in Tables 1 and 3 of the specification, respectively, is provided in solutions that necessarily include a combination of both citric acid and citric acid salt.

17. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issued thereon.

7/25/08

Date



William Stern

EXHIBIT A

Reference code: BA followed by 3digits refers to study #, letter refers to formulation with the 3 digits, # refers to rat ID which is not bioavailable by itself. Cmax refers to maximum sCT concentration. %F refers to bioavailability. Notebook reference for data below. 30151005, YMY 515:006, YMY 515:007 and YMY 515:008														
0mM	10mM	25mM	50mM	100mM										
Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#	Reference#
BA289B1	BA289C1	BA301B1	BA325A1	BA301C1	BA325A1	BA301C1	BA325A1	BA301C1	BA325A1	BA301C1	BA325A1	BA301C1	BA325A1	BA301C1
BA289B2	BA289C2	BA301B2	BA325A2	BA301C2	BA325A2	BA301C2	BA325A2	BA301C2	BA325A2	BA301C2	BA325A2	BA301C2	BA325A2	BA301C2
BA289B3	BA289C3	BA301B3	BA325A3	BA301C3	BA325A3	BA301C3	BA325A3	BA301C3	BA325A3	BA301C3	BA325A3	BA301C3	BA325A3	BA301C3
BA290B1	BA290C1	BA302B1	BA326A1	BA302C1	BA326A1	BA302C1	BA326A1	BA302C1	BA326A1	BA302C1	BA326A1	BA302C1	BA326A1	BA302C1
BA290B2	BA290C2	BA302B2	BA326A2	BA302C2	BA326A2	BA302C2	BA326A2	BA302C2	BA326A2	BA302C2	BA326A2	BA302C2	BA326A2	BA302C2
BA290B3	BA290C3	BA302B3	BA326A3	BA302C3	BA326A3	BA302C3	BA326A3	BA302C3	BA326A3	BA302C3	BA326A3	BA302C3	BA326A3	BA302C3
BA311C1	BA303A1	BA306B1		BA306C1		BA306C1		BA306C1		BA306C1		BA306C1		BA306C1
BA311C2	BA303A2	BA306B2		BA306C2		BA306C2		BA306C2		BA306C2		BA306C2		BA306C2
BA312C1	BA303A3	BA306B3		BA306C3		BA306C3		BA306C3		BA306C3		BA306C3		BA306C3
BA312C2	BA304C1	BA319C1		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2
BA313C1	BA304C2	BA320C1		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2
BA313C2	BA304C3	BA321C1		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA305C1	BA321C1		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA305C2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA305C3	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA307A1	BA319C1		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2
	BA307A2	BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2		BA319C2
	BA307A3	BA320C1		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2		BA320C2
	BA308B1	BA321C1		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA308B2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA308B3	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA309B1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA309B2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA314A1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA314A2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA315A1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA315A2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA316A1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA316A2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA319B1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA319B2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA320B1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA320B2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA321B1	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
	BA321B2	BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2		BA321C2
AVG	1.42	1.31												
SDEV	0.78	0.77												

EXHIBIT B

UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC.
BLOOD SAMPLE LOG SHEETS

Project Description: BA 285

Date: 4/13/99

Analyst: Greg Wells

Time (minutes)	0	5	15	30	60	120
Animal I.D.						
Wh/w	0	5	15	30	60	120
Yel/w	2	7	17	32	62	122
Red/w	4	9	19	34	64	124
Pur/w	6	11	21	36	66	126
Gr/w	8	13	23	38	68	128
Br/w	10	15	25	40	70	130
Or/w	12	17	27	42	72	132
Blue/w	14	19	29	44	74	134
Tan/w	16	21	31	46	76	136

Comments: t=0 administrative data

- I 50 µl (200 µl) sCT in 0.005% H₂O + 0.85% NaCl in 0.02% Benzalkonium Cl.
 II 50 µl (200 µl) sCT in 0.005% H₂O + 0.85% NaCl in 0.02% Benzalkonium Cl + 0.5% B₂O₃
 III 50 µl (200 µl) sCT in 0.005% H₂O + 0.85% NaCl in 0.02% Benzalkonium Cl + 0.5% B₂O₃ + 0.1% Tween 80.

5

NOTEBOOK # 283
 PAGE # 283
 DATE 4/13/99
 INITIALS GW

4/13/99

BA285

EFFICIENCY LINE# 22-208

21

plough
stump 1.02 g
 veglet + 2.49 g
 sunato + 0.32 g
 RO + 246.99 g

for atch 2

wall + 4.26 g

for atch 2

 bangkhamin al
 RO + 0.24 g
 RO + 500.01 g

 wall + 10.21 g
 for atch 1

 atch 2 1/2 plough 1.02
 1/2 plough 1.02
 2/3 sunato 80
 1/3 120 cl

 atch 1 1/2 bangkhamin al
 1/3 120 cl

 atch 1 atch 2
 1/2 plough 1.02
 2/3 sunato 80
 1/3 120 cl

 atch 1 atch 2
 1/2 plough 1.02
 2/3 sunato 80
 1/3 120 cl

 1/2 plough 1.02
 2/3 sunato 80
 1/3 120 cl

I

II

III

IV

V

VI

VII

VIII

IX

X

XI

XII

XIII

XIV

XV

XVI

XVII

XVIII

XIX

XX

XXI

XXII

XXIII

XXIV

XXV

XXVI

XXVII

XXVIII

XXIX

XXX

XXXI

XXXII

XXXIII

XXXIV

XXXV

XXXVI

XXXVII

XXXVIII

XXXIX

XL

XLI

XLII

XLIII

XLIV

XLV

XLVI

XLVII

XLVIII

XLIX

L

LI

LII

LIII

LIV

LV

LVI

LVII

LVIII

LVIX

LX

LXI

LXII

LXIII

LXIV

LXV

LXVI

LXVII

LXVIII

LXIX

LXX

LXXI

LXXII

LXXIII

LXXIV

LXXV

LXXVI

LXXVII

LXXVIII

LXXIX

LXXX

LXXXI

LXXXII

LXXXIII

LXXXIV

LXXXV

LXXXVI

LXXXVII

LXXXVIII

LXXXIX

LXXXX

LXXXXI

LXXXXII

LXXXXIII

LXXXXIV

LXXXXV

LXXXXVI

LXXXXVII

LXXXXVIII

LXXXXIX

LXXXXX

LXXXXXI

LXXXXXII

LXXXXXIII

LXXXXXIV

LXXXXXV

LXXXXXVI

LXXXXXVII

LXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXIX

LXXXXXX

LXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

LXXXXXXXVI

LXXXXXXXVII

LXXXXXXXVIII

LXXXXXXXIX

LXXXXXXX

LXXXXXXXI

LXXXXXXXII

LXXXXXXXIII

LXXXXXXXIV

LXXXXXXXV

Project
Description: BA 289

Date: 4/22/99

Analyst: gmg, vls

[illegible]

Comments: admissional dose at $t = 0, 30", 60", 90"$

2961 I (9/13/99) I. 4x25 μ l (200 μ l/l) : C/T in 0.005% + 0.02% Benzalkonium Cl
+ 0.85% NaOCl

2528 B (4/2/77) π " in 0.0005N HCl + 0.2% Triton X-100 + 0.05% BSA

25ul III (4/13/99) III " 10um citric acid / " 884755

* same formulation as BA 285
(prepared 4/13/99)

#20 up done 307

(reference: yug 315:005 page 283 yug 4/23/89
284 yug 4/23/89)

NOTEBOOK #	700-5717
PAGE #	48
DATE	5/5/95
INITIALS	JS

Project
Description:

BA 290

Date: 4/23/99

Analyst: Y. J. W.

Time (minutes)	0 *	30 *	60 *	90 *	120	150
Animal I.D.						
Wh/w	0	30	60	90	120	150
Yc/w	2	32	62	92	122	152
Ref/w	4	34	64	94	124	154
Pr/w	6	36	66	96	126	156
Gr/w	8	38	68	98	128	158
Bl/w	10	40	70	100	130	160
Or/w	12	42	72	102	132	162
Blau/w	14	44	74	104	134	164
Tan/w	16	46	76	106	136	166

Comments: administered above at $t=0, 30', 60', 90'$

Comments: administered once at 08:00

I	4x0.025ml (2mg/ml) SC Ten 09:00 + 0.02% Benzylpenicillin to 0.85% NaCl
II	" " " + 0.02% XGT + 0.5% BSA + 0.2% Vitamin K
III	" " " low maintenance + " + " + " + "

* same formula as BA289

same formulae
(prepared 4/13/99)

(reference page 4)

4/23/99

NOTEBOOK # 11711155006
PAGE # 62
DATE 4/23/99

UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC.
BLOOD SAMPLE LOG SHEETS

Project Descriptions BA 301

Date: 5/19/99

Analyst: Ying, WS

Time (minutes)	0	30	60	90	120	150
Animal I.D.						
Wt/w	0	30	60	90	120	150
Yel/w	2	32	62	92	122	152
Re/w	4	34	64	94	124	154
Pu/w	6	36	66	96	126	156
Gr/w	8	38	68	98	128	158
Bl/w	10	40	70	100	130	160
Or/w	12	42	72	102	132	162
Blue/w	14	44	74	104	134	164
Tan/w	16	46	76	106	136	166

Comments: t=0, 30, 60, 90 administered dose

- I 4x25µl (200µg/ml) + 0.0005N HCl + 0.62% Benzalkonium Cl + 0.85% NaCl
- II 4x25µl (200µg/ml) + 25mM Citric acid (pH=3.66) + 0.2% PEG-10H + 0.5% BzOH + 0.1% Tween 80 + 0.85% NaCl
- III 4x25µl (200µg/ml) + 100mM Citric acid + 0.2% PEG-10H + 0.5% BzOH + 0.1% Tween 80 + 0.85% NaCl

* 20µg dose/rect.

illegible

Preparata & solus per BA 301

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1									
2									
3						(Original)			
4		stock 1	stock 2	500mM CA pH 9.66	1m 1M	100mM 100mM	100	pH	
5	I	1ml			100ul	100ul	900ul	368	
6	II		1ml	100ul			800ul	372	
7	III		1ml	900ul			500ul	369	
8									
9									
10		stock 1 = 1.7% NaCl in .04% benzylamine Cl							
11									
12		stock 2 = 1.7% NaCl in .4% phenylalanyl, 1% benzylamine							
13		.2% Tween 80							
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									

NOTEBOOK # 35-10
PAGE # 210
DATE 5-15-55

Project Description: BA 303

Date: 5/5/99

Analyst: Y. M. H. S.

Time (minutes)	0	30	60	90	120	150
Animal I.D.						
Wh/w	0	30	60	90	120	150
Gw/w	2	32	62	92	122	152
Rd/w	4	34	64	94	124	154
Pc/w	6	36	66	96	126	156
Gr/w	8	38	68	98	128	158
Ba/w	10	40	70	100	130	160
Di/w	12	42	72	102	132	162
Bb-w/w	14	44	74	104	134	164
Tan/a	16	46	76	106	136	166

Comments: all contain 5% range of, 12.7 phos, 2.2% Al, 2.7% Mn, 1.7% Zn, 0.000

I. 2.2% (range) in 100% citric acid pH 3.73 (pH) (5/18/99)

II. 0.2% (range) in 100% citric acid pH 3.73 (pH) (5/18/99)

III. 0.2% (range) in 100% citric acid pH 3.73 (pH) (5/18/99)

IV. 0.2% (range) in 100% citric acid pH 3.73 (pH) (5/18/99)

* all	4x25 μ l	at	0, 30, 60, 90
			1x 1x 1x 1x

added 3rd. W. 0.00 to
1.1. and sample to volume per
from 3.91 to 3.77

UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC.
BLOOD SAMPLE LOG SHEETS

Project Description: BA311

Date: 6/22/99

Analyst: JS/MS

Time (minutes)	0	30	60	90	120	150
Animal I.D.						
Wt/w	0	30	60	90	120	150
Yct/w	2	32	62	92	122	152
Ref/w	4	34	64	94	124	154
Pa/w	6	36	66	96	126	156
Gp/w	8	38	68	98	128	158
Ba/w	10	40	70	100	130	160
Be/w	12	42	72	102	132	162
Blw/w	14	44	74	104	134	164
Tan/w	16	46	76	106	136	166

Comments: t=0, 30, 60, 90 → administered dose

I 4x25 µl (200 µg/ml sCT) + 0.0005 NHce + 0.85% Nacl

II 4x25 µl (200 µg/ml sCT) + 0.0005 NHce + 0.27% MeP + 0.04% PNP + 0.83% Nacl

III 4x25 µl (200 µg/ml sCT) + 0.0005 NHce + 0.2% Defolt + 0.5% BzotH + 0.1% TmbeBO + 0.85% Nacl

IV 4x25 µl (200 µg/ml sCT) + 0.0005 NHce + 0.2% Defolt + 1% BzotH + 0.1% TmbeBO + 0.85% Nacl

NOTEBOOK
PAGE # 240
DATE 6/22/99
INITIALS JS/MS

6/22/99 47

EFFICIENCY LINE 22-208



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1									
2									
3									
4									
5									
6	I	94 ml							
7	II								
8	III								
9	IV								
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17	Map = Hottel procedure								
18	Lat = Ingham procedure								
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									

6/22/99

[Handwritten signature]

NOTEBOOK # 20-1510007

PAGE # 241

DATE 6/22/99

INITIALS *[Signature]*

UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC.
BLOOD SAMPLE LOG SHEETS

Project Description: BA312 Date: 6/24/99
Analyst: gg, ws

II
III
IV
I

Time (minutes)	0	30	60	90	120	150
Animal I.D.						
Wh/W	0	30	60	90	120	150
Yel/W	2	32	62	92	122	152
Red/W	4	34	64	94	124	154
Pk/W	6	36	66	96	126	156
Gr/W	8	38	68	98	128	158
Bl/W	10	40	70	100	130	160
Or/W	12	42	72	102	132	162
Blk/W	14	44	74	104	134	164
Tan/W	16	46	76	106	136	166

6/25/99
gg
ws

Comments: t = 0, 30, 60, 90 - administered dose
I 4x25µl (200µg/ml SCT) + 0.005% NH₄Cl + 0.85% NaCl
II 4x25µl (200µg/ml SCT) + 0.005% NH₄Cl + 0.2% NaCl + 0.04% PAP + 0.83% NaCl
III 4x25µl (200µg/ml SCT) + 0.005% NH₄Cl + 0.2% NaCl + 0.05% B₂H₄ + 0.1% Tween 80 + 0.85% NaCl
IV 4x25µl (200µg/ml SCT) + 0.005% NH₄Cl + 0.2% NaCl + 0.1% B₂H₄ + 0.1% Tween 80 + 0.85% NaCl
* same formulation as experiment in BA 311
gg 5/5/97 p. 240-241
gg 6/24/99

NOTEBOOK # 11
PAGE # 11
DATE 6/25/99
INITIALS gg ws

Project Description:

BA 313

Date: 6/25/99

Analyst: Y. J. WS

[illegible]

Comments: $t = 0, 30, 60, 90$ — admittance close

Comments: $t = 0, 150, 300, 450$

II 4x25g $\frac{1}{2}$ " + " + 0.27% NaP + 0.04% PAP + 0.83% NaCl

II 4x5 gpl. " + " + 0.2% NaCl + 0.05% Glycerol + 0.05% NaCl

IV. 4x2xpl " + " + " + 1% Boat + " + "

4x25 pl 11. + " + "
 * on fronted; superior BA 311-312

NOTEBOOK #2475208

1057 N 85th Ave

10/27/95

5/17/2011

EXHIBIT C

206

Title: HPLC

8/13/95

(2)

EFFICIENCY LINE# 22-205

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1		IN NR		LO NR					
2	1	1	4.2						
3	2	1	3.84						
4	3	6	3.79						
5	4			1	3.81				
6	5			3	3.75				
7	6	1	4.13						
8									
9	1	1	3.65						
10	2	2	3.76						
11	3	4	3.74						
12	4			1	3.78				
13	5								
14	6	1	3.6						
15									
16	1								
17	2	1	3.74						
18	3								
19	4								
20	5								
21	6								
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									

TAKES 5:020

BOOK #

IN

8/13/95

ALS

ME

EXHIBIT D

Stability Studies on Unigenex Candidate sCT Nasal Formulations

Date: January 27, 1999

Preparation of sCT Stock Solution (for the preparation #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, and #8 candidate nasal formulations):

0.6836^{*} g sCT (Lot # 1100-6010, % peptide = 83%, Exp. 8/1998) was dissolved in 100 mL of purified water (Fairfield Drop 1). The solution (i.e., conc.=567.4 mg/mL) was kept at 4 °C until use.

Preparation Date: January 27, 1999, stored at 4 °C, prepared by ETM, expires on January 27, 2000

ETM

1-27-99

* Please page 51 for the printout

Target Compositions of Experimental Candidate Nasal Formulations:

ETM

1-27-99

The following are the target compositions of the various experimental formulations:

Formulation #	Target pH	sCT (ug/mL)	Citric Acid (mM)	NaCl (mM)	Tween 80 (0.1g/100mL)	Benzyl Alcohol (0.1g/100mL)
1	N/A	560	-	128	-	-
2	N/A	560	-	128	Yes	Yes
3	3.30	560	10	128	-	-
4	3.30	560	10	128	Yes	Yes
5	3.70	560	10	128	-	-
6	3.70	560	10	128	Yes	Yes
7	4.10	560	10	128	-	-
8	4.10	560	10	128	Yes	Yes
9	4.50	560	10	128	-	-
10	4.50	560	10	128	Yes	Yes
11	3.30	560	100	128	-	-
12	3.30	560	100	128	Yes	Yes
13	3.70	560	100	128	-	-
14	3.70	560	100	128	Yes	Yes
15	4.10	560	100	128	-	-
16	4.10	560	100	128	Yes	Yes
17	4.50	560	100	128	-	-
18	4.50	560	100	128	Yes	Yes

REFERENCE
 NOTE BOOK # 1100-6010
 PAGE # 51

Note: The target compositions of the various experimental nasal formulations were provided to me by Dr. Bill Stern of Unigenex Laboratories, Inc. at Fairfield.

ETM 1-27-0

Stability Studies of sCT Nasal Candidacies

DATE	3/12/99
PAGE #	102
NAME	ETH

RESULTS / DISCUSSION:

Summary of Stability Results of Possible Unigene Nasal Product

- Form. #9 appears to be least stable at 4°C after 1 mo. (~77% of active ingredient remaining after 1 month).
- Form. #9 is least stable after 1 month at 25°C.

Form. #	Target pH	Actual Final pH	% Remaining after 3 days at 4°C	% Remaining after 7 days at 4°C	% Remaining after 14 days at 4°C	% Remaining after 1 mo. at 4°C	% Remaining after 3 days at 25°C	% Remaining after 7 days at 25°C	% Remaining after 14 days at 25°C	% Remaining after 1 mo. at 25°C
1	n/a	5.03	99.3%	102.6%	103.0%	104.1%	99.7%	98.2%	97.3%	97.6%
2	n/a	5.02	98.7%	102.8%	101.8%	102.0%	97.5%	98.3%	95.7%	97.4%
3	3.30	3.27	99.3%	101.5%	102.2%	103.6%	99.3%	100.1%	101.0%	100.3%
4	3.30	3.38	101.0%	101.1%	101.9%	102.6%	100.4%	101.0%	101.5%	101.1%
5	3.70	3.70	100.1%	101.5%	102.8%	102.5%	98.8%	98.2%	101.4%	98.8%
6	3.70	3.70	99.1%	101.1%	101.8%	101.2%	98.7%	98.1%	101.2%	98.8%
7	4.10	4.15	99.3%	100.8%	101.3%	100.8%	98.8%	100.1%	98.7%	98.1%
8	4.10	4.11	99.5%	100.8%	100.9%	100.7%	100.3%	99.8%	100.0%	99.8%
9	4.60	4.60	97.5%	98.2%	98.4%	78.6%	95.7%	94.9%	94.6%	98.7%
10	4.60	4.64	102.6%	102.7%	102.8%	101.9%	102.0%	101.7%	100.5%	97.7%
11	3.30	3.30	102.9%	102.1%	101.8%	100.2%	102.7%	101.4%	102.4%	98.9%
12	3.30	3.31	102.6%	102.4%	101.1%	102.1%	102.3%	101.8%	101.6%	97.9%
13	3.70	3.70	102.2%	99.7%	101.1%	100.7%	100.1%	100.7%	98.7%	97.2%
14	3.70	3.76	100.8%	101.1%	98.0%	100.5%	100.3%	100.0%	99.5%	97.5%
15	4.10	4.17	101.2%	101.6%	101.4%	99.3%	101.2%	100.3%	98.3%	95.4%
16	4.10	4.10	100.3%	99.7%	100.5%	99.8%	100.3%	98.3%	98.7%	94.7%
17	4.60	4.48	101.7%	99.8%	101.2%	98.8%	101.2%	100.2%	98.7%	74.3%
18	4.60	4.50	98.8%	100.0%	98.2%	100.3%	99.9%	99.8%	98.0%	94.0%

ETH
3-12-99

Note: % Recovery was calculated by getting the ratio of the sCT peak area at any time ≥ 0h to that at time = 0h for a particular formulation

ETH

Stability Studies of SCT Nasal Cartridges

ETH:002
3/12/99
PAGE # 183
NAME ETD:mds

RESULTS / DISCUSSION:

Summary of Stability Results of Possible Unigene Nasal Product

- ① Form. #17 is least stable after ~ 1 mo. at 25°C.
② Form. #3, #4, #5, & #6 are the most stable after
1 month at 50°C.

Form. #	Target pH	Final pH	% Remaining after 3 days at 37°C	% Remaining after 7 days at 37°C	% Remaining after 14 days at 37°C	% Remaining after 1 mo. at 37°C	% Remaining after 3 days at 50°C	% Remaining after 7 days at 50°C	% Remaining after 14 days at 50°C	% Remaining after 1 mo. at 50°C
1	n/a	6.03	98.6%	93.6%	83.4%	62.0%	78.5%	62.0%	44.9%	15.6%
2	n/a	5.02	97.4%	91.3%	85.0%	68.1%	77.5%	61.1%	47.2%	11.2%
3	3.30	3.27	98.5%	100.0%	98.2%	94.7%	95.0%	95.0%	92.2%	74.9%
4	3.30	3.38	99.8%	99.3%	98.8%	94.7%	95.8%	93.0%	86.9%	88.0%
5	3.70	3.70	97.9%	99.4%	97.6%	93.4%	96.5%	92.5%	84.3%	83.3%
6	3.70	3.70	98.3%	99.4%	98.9%	92.4%	96.5%	91.5%	82.8%	83.1%
7	4.10	4.15	98.9%	97.4%	96.2%	88.7%	92.0%	84.9%	73.6%	47.9%
8	4.10	4.11	98.5%	97.1%	92.8%	89.2%	92.8%	87.2%	74.3%	52.0%
9	4.50	4.50	92.0%	89.1%	82.6%	69.3%	75.3%	60.6%	41.7%	18.1%
10	4.50	4.54	98.5%	95.4%	88.9%	78.5%	84.7%	65.6%	45.3%	21.9%
11	3.30	3.30	98.5%	94.5%	90.8%	82.0%	90.7%	77.1%	61.2%	40.6%
12	3.30	3.31	100.0%	97.0%	91.2%	81.7%	88.9%	78.0%	60.9%	38.8%
13	3.70	3.70	98.7%	94.3%	91.3%	80.0%	87.1%	68.7%	54.1%	31.5%
14	3.70	3.78	97.9%	93.7%	90.3%	78.3%	87.6%	71.3%	54.4%	31.6%
15	4.10	4.17	98.7%	93.8%	87.3%	74.1%	83.8%	63.9%	41.1%	21.1%
16	4.10	4.10	98.9%	93.4%	87.0%	74.0%	83.1%	64.9%	48.8%	22.9%
17	4.60	4.48	96.9%	87.9%	73.2%	38.8%	77.8%	54.0%	34.5%	14.3%
18	4.50	4.60	97.0%	90.5%	83.0%	57.3%	78.0%	48.9%	32.9%	9.4%

ETH
3-12-99

Note: % Recovery was calculated by getting the ratio of the SCT peak area at any time > 0h to that at time = 0h for a particular formulation.

APPROVED BY:
[Signature]